UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,671	03/24/2004	Udo Klein	07781.0319-00	6228
	7590 10/29/2007 AN, HENDERSON LLI	EXAMINER		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYAN R	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/808,671	KLEIN ET AL.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> .	(3)	
(2) Anthony J. Lombardi .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 October 2007</u> .		and the second
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representat	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Sankaran et al. (US	Patent 5,832,484) and Bangel	et al. (US Patent 6,901,401).
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□] N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed of Examiner suggested how to overcome the rejection. Disbeen reached.</u>	<u>laims rejection under 35 U.S.C</u>	. 112, 2 nd paragraph and 101.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	o copy of the amendments that	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICI INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IFFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INterquirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has alrea ER OF ONE MONTH OR THIR NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORN	dy been filed, APPLICANT IS TY DAYS FROM THIS /I, WHICHEVER IS LATER, T
		·
		· ·
	3R	para
•	SATHYAN	ARAYAN PANNALA ARY EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		gnature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

LAW OFFICES FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001

Telephone (202) 408-4000

Facsimile (202) 408-4400

(-002)		(202) 408-4400
	FACSIMILE TR	ANSMITTAL
To:	Examiner Sathyanarayan R, Pannal	a
Firm:	USPTO	
Fax No.:	571-273-4115	Phone No.: 571-272-4115
Subject:	Application No. 10/808,671 Atty. Doc. 07781.0319-00	Date: October 10, 2007
From:	Anthony J. Lombardi	Phone No.: 202-408-4155
Fax # Ver	ified by: pnewton MD 1004	No. of Pages (incl. this page) 2
	Confirmation Copy	to Follow: No

Message:

Dear Examiner Pannala:

As you requested, attached is an Interview Request Form for our telephone interview, which is scheduled for October 16, 2007, at 2:00pm.

Best regards, Anthony J. Lombardi

If there is a problem with this transmission, notify fax room at (202) 408-4174 or the sender at the number above.

This facelmile is intended only for the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this facelmile in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone (collect), and return the original message by first-class mail to the above address.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application	No.: 10/808,671				athyanarayan R.	
First Name	Applicant: Udo K	LEIN	Group Art	Unit: 2164	:	
Status of A	oplication: Rejecte	<u>a</u>			,	
1	articipants:					
(1) <u>Sathyaı</u>	<u>narayan R. Pannala</u>	<u>a</u> (2) <u>A</u>	nthony J. Lom	<u>bardi</u>		
(3)	ı	(4)				
Proposed Date of Interview: October 16, 2007 Proposed Time: 2:00pm						
Type of Inte	Type of Interview Requested:					
		Ţ,	☐ Personal☐ Video Conf	orongo		
				GI ETILE		
Exhibit to b	e Shown or Demo	_ · · · · · · · · _ <u> </u>	Yes			
			⊠ No			
If yes, prov	ide brief descripti	on:				
	•					
		January de la D				
Issues	<u> </u>	Issues to be D	iscussed		<u> </u>	
(rtej., Obj.						
(Rej., Obj. etc.)	Claims/Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
	Claims/Fig. #s 1, 12, and 25	<u>Prior Art</u> § 112, ¶2	<u>Discussed</u>	Agreed	Not Agreed	
etc.)	•	§ 112, ¶2	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
etc.) 1. Rej.	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29	<u>§ 112, ¶2</u> 9, 35-38 <u>§ 101</u>		Agreed	Not Agreed	
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei.	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29	§ 112, ¶2		Agreed	Not Agreed	
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4.	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sa	<u>§ 112, ¶2</u> 9, 35-38 <u>§ 101</u> ankaran, Bangel		Agreed	Not Agreed	
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4.	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29	<u>§ 112, ¶2</u> 9, 35-38 <u>§ 101</u> ankaran, Bangel		Agreed	Not Agreed	
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sation Sheet Attache	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel ed				
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sa ation Sheet Attache ption of Argument of claimed authoriza	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel d ts to be Preser				
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sation Sheet Attache	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel d ts to be Preser				
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri Discussion or respect to the	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sa ation Sheet Attache ption of Argument of claimed authoriza	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel ad ats to be Preser ation "if the user ecords."	ited:	a conflict o		
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri Discussion or respect to the	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sation Sheet Attache iption of Argument claimed authorizate set of database reviews conducted of the set of database	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel ed ets to be Preser ation "if the user ecords." on the above-id	nted: does not have	a conflict o	f interest with	
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri Discussion or respect to the An interview Note: This fadvance of the	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sation Sheet Attache iption of Argument of claimed authorizate set of database re v was conducted of the complete of the compl	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel ats to be Preser ation "if the user ecords." on the above-id	ited: does not have lentified applicant and subm	a conflict o	f interest with	
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri Discussion or respect to th An interview Note: This fadvance of the from issue be	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 \$ 103(a) Sation Sheet Attache iption of Argument of claimed authorizate e set of database re v was conducted of the interview (see Mecause of applicant	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel ed ets to be Preser etion "if the user ecords." on the above-id epleted by Applic 1PEP § 713.01). It's failure to file a	nted: does not have lentified applicant and subm This applications statement of	a conflict o	f interest with	
etc.) 1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri Discussion or respect to th An interview Note: This fadvance of the from issue be	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sation Sheet Attache iption of Argument of claimed authorizate set of database re v was conducted of the complete of the compl	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel ed ets to be Preser etion "if the user ecords." on the above-id epleted by Applic 1PEP § 713.01). It's failure to file a	nted: does not have lentified applicant and subm This applications statement of	a conflict o	f interest with	
1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri Discussion or respect to the An interview Note: This fadvance of the from issue beinterview (37)	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 § 103(a) Sation Sheet Attache iption of Argument of claimed authorizate set of database re v was conducted of the interview (see Mecause of applicant of CFR § 1.133(b)) a	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel ats to be Preser ation "if the user ecords." on the above-id apleted by Applic APEP § 713.01). It's failure to file a as soon as possi	nted: does not have lentified applicant and subm This applications statement of	a conflict o	f interest with	
1. Rei. 2. Rei. 3. Rei. 4. Continua Brief Descri Discussion or respect to the An interview Note: This fadvance of the from issue beinterview (37)	1, 12, and 25 1, 4-22, 25, 28, 29 \$ 103(a) Sation Sheet Attache iption of Argument of claimed authorizate e set of database re v was conducted of the interview (see Mecause of applicant	§ 112, ¶2 9, 35-38 § 101 ankaran, Bangel ats to be Preser ation "if the user ecords." on the above-id apleted by Applic APEP § 713.01). It's failure to file a as soon as possi	does not have tentified applicant and submate application application statement of ble.	a conflict o	f interest with Examiner in be delayed acc of this	